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ABSTRACT

Background. The choice of the optimal benzodiazepine to treat prehospital status epilepticus is unclear. Lorazepam is preferred in the emergency department, but concerns about nonrefrigerated storage limits emergency medical services (EMS) use. Midazolam is increasingly popular, but its heat stability is undocumented. Objective. This study evaluated temperature-dependent degradation of lorazepam and midazolam after 60 days in the EMS environment.

Methods. Lorazepam or midazolam samples were collected prior to (n = 139) or after (n = 229) 60 days of EMS deployment during spring–summer months in 14 metropolitan areas across the United States. Medications were stored in study boxes that logged temperature every minute and were stored in EMS units per local agency policy. Mean kinetic temperature (MKT) exposure was derived for each sample. Drug concentrations were determined in a central laboratory by high-performance liquid chromatography. Concentration as a function of MKT was analyzed by linear regression.

Results. Prior to deployment, measured concentrations of both benzodiazepines were 1.0 relative to labeled concentration. After 60 days, midazolam showed no degradation (mean relative concentration 1.00, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.00–1.00) and was stable across temperature exposures (adjusted R² 0.278). Lorazepam experienced little degradation (mean relative concentration 0.99, 95% CI 0.98–0.99), but degradation was correlated to increasing MKT (adjusted R² 0.008). The difference between the temperature dependence of degradation of midazolam and lorazepam was statistically significant (T = 5.172, p < 0.001).

Conclusions. Lorazepam experiences small but statistically significant temperature-dependent degradation after 60 days in the EMS environment. Lorazepam or midazolam samples were collected prior to (n = 139) or after (n = 229) 60 days of EMS deployment during spring–summer months in 14 metropolitan areas across the United States. Medications were stored in study boxes that logged temperature every minute and were stored in EMS units per local agency policy. Mean kinetic temperature (MKT) exposure was derived for each sample. Drug concentrations were determined in a central laboratory by high-performance liquid chromatography. Concentration as a function of MKT was analyzed by linear regression. Results. Prior to deployment, measured concentrations of both benzodiazepines were 1.0 relative to labeled concentration. After 60 days, midazolam showed no degradation (mean relative concentration 1.00, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.00–1.00) and was stable across temperature exposures (adjusted R² 0.278). Lorazepam experienced little degradation (mean relative concentration 0.99, 95% CI 0.98–0.99), but degradation was correlated to increasing MKT (adjusted R² 0.008). The difference between the temperature dependence of degradation of midazolam and lorazepam was statistically significant (T = 5.172, p < 0.001). Conclusions. Lorazepam experiences small but statistically significant temperature-dependent degradation after 60 days in the EMS environment. Additional study is needed to evaluate whether clinically significant deterioration occurs after 60 days. Midazolam shows no degradation over this duration, even in high-heat conditions. Key words: midazolam; lorazepam; temperature; emergency medical services

INTRODUCTION

Prolonged seizures frequently require treatment by emergency medical services (EMS) prior to hospital arrival, and benzodiazepines are the mainstay of initial therapy. However, the optimal agent for use by paramedics in the prehospital setting is unclear. Lorazepam, considered the benzodiazepine of choice for seizure cessation in the hospital environment, is generally thought to require replacement after
lorazepam (2 mg/mL) was packaged in a prefilled disposable single-use glass syringe (Carpuject, Hospira, Lake Forest, IL). Study medications were stored in instrumented boxes that recorded temperature every minute for 60 days or until the medication was used, whichever came first. Unused study drugs were retired at 60 days.

For quality assurance and research purposes, two subsets of sample drug kits were randomly selected by a computerized drug-tracking system for analysis. A baseline subset was drawn from refrigerated new kits that were never placed in the field. The other was a subset of retired, unused study drugs collected after 60 spring or summer days in the field between April and August 2010. For the purpose of this investigation, the two participating study sites that are known to have the highest ambient temperatures were deliberately oversampled by a ratio of 3:1 to obtain a larger number of samples expected to experience high-heat conditions.

Generally, EMS agencies stored the medications in the study boxes alongside other routine medications and per individual agency policies. Use of temperature-control systems beyond normal vehicle air conditioning was not specified in the study protocol. The drugs were stored inside the cabs or external compartments of fire apparatus, ambulances, and other vehicles used for emergency medical response. Some vehicles were kept in environmentally controlled stations unless responding to an emergency call, while others were in constant exposure to ambient temperatures during work shifts.

Sample size was estimated to provide significance of 0.05 and power 0.8, assuming a mean difference of 2.5% for the aggregate comparison of midazolam and lorazepam groups and a within-group sample variability (standard deviation) of 5%.

**Methods of Measurement**

Temperature was measured every minute for 60 days by a microprocessor-controlled thermistor in each study box and recorded to a nonvolatile memory card (MicroSD, SanDisk, Milpitas, CA). This time-varying signal was analyzed and summarized by determination of mean kinetic temperature (MKT). MKT is a metric used to describe the overall effect of temperature fluctuations on heat-sensitive materials and is used widely in the pharmaceutical industry. This measure is not simply a weighted average of temperature, but serves as a way to express the cumulative heat stress to which a product has been exposed over time. The MKT was calculated for each sample across 60 days of temperature data (Stability System II software, SciTek Software, Tustin, CA). Daily ambient temperature data were gathered from online resources for each city.

Samples were analyzed in a commercial laboratory (DynaLabs, St. Louis, MO) by high-performance liquid
chromatography (HPLC) to determine concentration of the active drug. Samples were refrigerated (including shipping) before deployment in the field and after retirement prior to analysis to prevent further degradation.

**Data Collection and Processing**

Memory cards from the selected study boxes were sent to the study’s clinical coordinating center (CCC), and drug samples were sent directly to the processing center for analysis. Results of the HPLC were returned to the CCC to be paired with temperature data. Data were managed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) and analyzed via SPSS version 19 (International Business Machines, Armonk, NY).

**Outcome Measures**

The primary outcome was relative reduction in medication concentration from the labeled concentration.

**Primary Data Analysis**

Linear regression determined the dependence of each medication’s degradation as a factor of MKT. The beta coefficient was calculated to compare the regression slopes, as a means to compare the differences in the relationship between MKT and degradation for midazolam and lorazepam.11

**RESULTS**

**Baseline**

Prior to study drug deployment, 139 randomly selected samples were sent for baseline concentration determination by HPLC as part of the larger trial’s quality assurance process. These samples were kept refrigerated, not exposed to prolonged periods of ambient temperature prior to testing, and confirmed to have baseline concentrations consistent with manufacturer labeling. The mean relative concentration (actual versus labeled) at baseline was 1.00 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.99–1.00) for midazolam (n = 74) and 1.01 (95% CI 1.01–1.01) for lorazepam (n = 65).

Between April and August 2010, 122 midazolam and 107 lorazepam samples were randomly selected from unused study drugs of 14 cities and sent for HPLC analysis after 60 days of environmental exposure in EMS vehicles. The mean monthly ambient temperatures for the 14 cities ranged from 9.2°C to 35.9°C (Table 1).

The mean MKT was 22.1°C (95% CI 21.6°C–22.5°C) for all samples. There was no difference in the overall MKT for midazolam or lorazepam samples. Figure 1 shows relative drug concentrations over the range of individual MKT exposures. Midazolam showed no significant degradation over time (mean relative concentration 1.00, 95% CI 1.00–1.00); degradation over time was not correlated with temperature (adjusted R² –0.008), demonstrating stability over a broad range of temperatures. Lorazepam experienced some degradation (mean relative concentration 0.99, 95% CI 0.98–0.99), and greater degradation was correlated with increasing MKT (adjusted R² 0.278), suggesting that the degree of degradation is affected by the degree of exposure to higher temperatures.

The absolute difference in mean concentration of midazolam and lorazepam was small but statistically significant (1.4%, p < 0.001). However, increasing MKT had a much greater effect on lorazepam than midazolam (T = –5.172, p < 0.001), providing evidence that lorazepam is more heat-sensitive than midazolam.

**DISCUSSION**

Both lorazepam and midazolam maintained clinically acceptable concentrations of active drug after 60 days...
of EMS deployment. Lorazepam demonstrated a small amount of temperature-dependent degradation over this interval, whereas midazolam did not.

There is evidence that not all medications used by EMS are affected by storage in uncontrolled environments. However, understanding which drugs are affected, and to what degree, is essential to ensure that patients receive effective treatment. Prior to this study, the heat stability of midazolam in the EMS environment had not been reported. We demonstrated that after 60 days of environmental exposure, midazolam shows little degradation over a broad range of temperature exposures. Lorazepam, on the other hand, shows some temperature-dependent degradation. The stability of both medications over a longer period of exposure deserves investigation. The ambient temperatures encountered in this study were in the cool (8°C–15°C), room-temperature (15°C–30°C), and warm (30°C–40°C) ranges as defined by the USP.9 The effects of excessive heat (>40°C) require further study.

Our results build upon those previously reported, since this investigation occurred in more diverse environments, thereby allowing further evaluation of the degree to which observed drug degradation was affected by MKT exposure. The median lorazepam degradation we observed was similar to that observed by Gottwald et al., and the amount of degradation is indeed tied to increasing MKT. The clinical implications of lorazepam’s degradation are uncertain. However, it is notable that the concentrations in some lorazepam samples (5/106, 4.7%) were reduced by more than 5% when they came from high-heat environments. Thus, the deterioration of lorazepam may be rapid and considerable in areas that routinely experience extreme temperatures, such as the deep southern and southwestern regions of the United States.

The two cities with the highest ambient temperatures were purposely oversampled; however, ambient temperatures and sample MKT did not directly correlate (Table 1). This unexpected observation may be related to EMS system operational aspects, whereby medications kept in garaged fire department bays likely have better temperature controls than dynamically deployed third-service EMS agencies. When considering the potential impact of heat exposure on medications, EMS agencies should sample the temperatures where drugs are stored (i.e., ambulance patient compartment) and not rely solely on ambient temperature.

**LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH**

Baseline and postexposure measurements were not taken from the same samples. Thus, it is possible that packaged concentrations were different and resulted in systemic bias. However, this is unlikely since deployed medications were packaged 1) by a commercial vendor with extensive product quality control, 2) according to USP recommendations, and 3) in the same manner as the samples used to determine baseline concentrations (which all had very small variability).

The use of advanced temperature-control systems by participating agencies was not captured as part of data collection; however, the temperatures recorded for samples do not suggest the use of refrigerators. Further investigation of drug-storage strategies used by...
agencies where the observed MKT is different from observed ambient temperatures could provide evidence in support of best practices.

**CONCLUSION**

These data confirm that lorazepam experiences small but statistically significant temperature-dependent degradation after exposure to 60 days in the EMS environment. Additional study is needed to evaluate whether clinically significant deterioration occurs after 60 days. Midazolam does not show any sign of degradation over this duration, even in high-heat conditions.
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